

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,148	BERAUD ET AL.
	Examiner Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D..

(3) _____.

(2) Joe Liebeschuetz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner requested authorization to enter an examiner's amendment; Mr. Liebeschuetz authorized entry of the proposed amendment.
Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)